



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/043,591

01/09/2002

Earl Vickers

21521-300101

6349

48914

7590

04/29/2008

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/CREATIVE LABS

P.O. BOX 2938

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

LAO, LUN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/043,591 | Applicant(s) VICKERS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner LUN LAO | Art Unit 2615 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-30-2007, 02-04-2008</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This action is in response to the amendments filed on 02-04-2008. Claims 1, 2, 14, 18 and 20 have been amended. Claims 1-21 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02-04-2008 has been entered.

Specification

3. The amendment filed 02-04-2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "wherein the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. . Claim 1 recited “ the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels”. However, the specification does not clearly disclose how the processing of “a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels” will be performed. It was not supported in the specification nor in any claim originary presented.

6. Claims 14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. . Claim 14 recited “ applying the gain comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based

Art Unit: 2615

on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels". However, the specification does not clearly disclose how the processing of "a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels" will be performed. It was not supported in the specification nor in any claim originary presented.

Consider claims 18 and 20 they are essentially similar to claim 14 and are rejected for the reason stated above apropos to claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-3 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders (US PAT. 6,351,733) in view of Smyth et al.(US PAT. 5,956,674).

Regarding Claim 1 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of adjusting the dynamics of an audio track, comprising:

deriving, from the transfer function (reads on VRA function), a time-varying gain to modify the statistical distribution of levels of the audio track (see Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67); and

applying the time-varying gain to the audio track to obtain a resulting audio track, wherein the transfer function comprises a multi-line transfer function (see Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67); but Saunder does not explicitly teach deriving, at least two parameters of a transfer function from a statistical distribution of levels encountered in the audio track; and wherein the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However, Smyth teaches that deriving, at least two parameters of a transfer function from a statistical distribution of levels encountered in the audio track (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67); and wherein the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels(see col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Regarding Claim 2, Saunders discloses the multi-line transfer function comprises a multi-line compressor transfer function (see fig.13-14), wherein the one or more thresholds include one or more compression thresholds (reads on user selected VRA ratio and see col. 24 line 1-6), and

deriving the transfer function comprises: specifying a desired statistical dynamics distribution (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-60); and deriving the parameters (see col. 10 line 2-20) of the transfer function(reads on wave form in each channel) from the metadata and from the desired statistical dynamics distribution (Figs. 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60); such that a final statistical dynamics distribution encountered in the resulting audio track after application of the time-varying gain is substantially similar to the desired statistical dynamics distribution (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67).

Regarding Claim 3, Saunders discloses deriving the time varying gain comprises: specifying a desired overall loudness for the audio track (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67) deriving an estimate of the loudness of the resulting audio track from the metadata and from an initial estimate of the time-varying gain (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67); deriving a correction factor from the desired overall loudness and from the estimate of the loudness of the resulting audio track (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67; column 23, line 48 to column 24, line 6); and applying the correction factor to the initial estimate of the time-varying gain to obtain the time-varying gain (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column

23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67; column 23, line 48 to column 24, line 6; column 26, line 40 to column 27, line 4).

Regarding Claim 14 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of adjusting the loudness of an audio track including a plurality of audio frames, the method comprising:

obtaining loudness values for each of the plurality of audio frames (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34);

applying a weighting factor (see fig.13 (11340) and see col.22 line 53-col. 23 line 67) to each of the loudness values to obtain a plurality of weighted loudness values (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34);

aggregating the weighted loudness values (see fig.13 (multiplier)) to obtained an overall loudness value for the audio track (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67);

comparing the overall loudness value (see col. 17 line 46-67) to a desired loudness value (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4); and

applying a gain (see fig.13, (1340)) to the audio track based on the comparison between the overall loudness value and the desired loudness value (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4); but Saunders does not explicitly teach that applying the gain comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds

derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However Smyth teaches that applying the gain comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67; col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Regarding Claim 15, Saunders discloses the weighting factor to be applied to a particular loudness value is derived from the particular loudness value itself (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4).

Regarding Claim 16, Saunders discloses the weighting factor for a particular loudness value comprises an emphasis parameter raised to a power of the particular loudness value (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4).

Regarding Claim 18 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of altering a dynamic range of an audio track comprising a plurality of audio frames each having a loudness value, the method comprising: obtaining

Art Unit: 2615

original statistical frequency data for the audio track (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); applying a test compression scheme to the original statistical frequency data to obtain test statistical frequency data (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); deriving from the original statistical frequency data and the test statistical frequency data an actual compression scheme (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); and compressing the audio track using the actual compression scheme (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); but Saunders does not explicitly teach that compressing using the actual compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from the statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However Smyth teaches that compressing using the actual compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from the statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67; col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col. 42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding Claim 19, Saunders discloses obtaining a mean loudness deviation value from the loudness values for the plurality of audio frames; determining a test mean loudness deviation value from the test statistical frequency data; and comparing the mean loudness deviation value and the test mean loudness deviation value with a desired mean loudness deviation value when deriving the actual compression scheme (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34).

Regarding Claim 20 as based on the first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of processing an audio track comprising: obtaining statistical frequency data for the audio track (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); applying a compression scheme to the statistical frequency data to obtain an estimate of statistical frequency data that would result from applying the compression scheme directly to the audio track (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 8, line 7 to column 9, line 51; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); determining an estimated overall compressed loudness value from the estimate of statistical frequency data (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); compressing the audio track using the compression scheme to obtain a compressed audio track (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); and applying a gain to the compressed audio track based on a comparison between the estimated overall compressed loudness value and a desired loudness value (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); but Saunders does not explicitly teach that compressing using the compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from the statistical

Art Unit: 2615

frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However Smyth teaches that compressing using the compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi- line compression transfer function derived from the statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67; col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Regarding Claim 21, Saunders discloses the overall compressed loudness value is obtained by: obtaining a plurality of individual loudness values from the estimate of statistical frequency data; applying a weighting factor to each of the individual loudness values to obtain weighted loudness values; and aggregating the weighted loudness values to obtained the overall compressed loudness value for the audio track (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 8, line 7 to column 9, line 51; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4).

Regarding Claim 17, Saunders discloses a metadata which is the audio control information, but does not expressly disclose the weighted loudness values of the plurality of audio frames are aggregated using a histogram (column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60). However, the examiner takes Official Notices that it well known in the art to provide metadata comprises histogram associated with the audio control information in order to further enhance the playback features available. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Saunders to have the metadata of Saunders, comprise histogram associated with the audio control information in order to further enhance the playback features available.

9. Claim 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders (US PAT. 6,351,733) as modified by Smyth et al. (US PAT. 5,956,674) as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Nakano (US PAT. 5,404,315).

Regarding 4, Saunders as modified by Smyth does not explicitly teach the step of deriving the time varying gain comprises:

deriving, from histogram data of levels encountered in the audio track, an original dynamic spread value representing a spread of the levels encountered in the audio track;

performing a comparison between the original dynamic spread value and a desired dynamic spread value; and deriving a parameter for the transfer function from the comparison.

However, Nakano teaches that the step of deriving the time varying gain comprises:
deriving, from histogram data of levels encountered in the audio track, an original dynamic spread value representing a spread of the levels encountered in the audio track (see col. 7 lines 42-51);

performing a comparison between the original dynamic spread value and a desired dynamic spread value (see col. 10 line 41-59); and deriving a parameter (reads on coefficient) for the transfer function (equation 1) from the comparison (see figs 3-4 and 6 and see col. 10 lines 41-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Nakano into Saunders and Smyth to provide better gain control for enhancing the audio output to the user.

Regarding Claim 5, Nakano discloses deriving parameters comprises: determining a slope of a segment of a compressor transfer function (column 6, line 5 to column 7, line 37); and determining a threshold between two segments (a, b, c) of the compressor transfer function (formula 1 and see figs 3-4,6 and col. 6 line 5-col. 7 line 37 and discussion above claim 4). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 6, Nakano discloses determining the slope comprises: applying a test compression scheme to the histogram data to obtain test histogram data, the test compression scheme including a test slope (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 7, lines 42-51); determining a test dynamic spread value from the test histogram data (column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60); and deriving the slope based on a comparison of the original

dynamic spread value, the desired dynamic spread value and the test dynamic spread value (column 6, lines 5-27). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 7, Nakano discloses the slope for the compressor transfer function is determined using interpolation (column 6, line 5-27). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 8, Nakano discloses the slope for the compressor transfer function is determined using iteration (column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 9, Nakano discloses the original dynamic spread value is derived from a mean absolute deviation from a mean loudness value for the audio track (column 4, line 57 to column 5, line 3). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 10, Nakano discloses the original dynamic spread value is derived from a mean absolute deviation from a median loudness value for the audio track (column 4, line 57 to column 5, line 3). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 11, Nakano discloses the parameters include a level of a threshold separating two segments of a compressor transfer function (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 10, lines 41-59). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 12, Nakano discloses specifying a fraction representing a proportion of the audio track to which compression will be applied (column 6, lines 5-27); deriving from the histogram data a loudness value corresponding to a point above or below which the fraction of the histogram data is located; and using the loudness value as a threshold separating two segments of a compressor transfer function (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60; column 10, lines 41-59). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 13, Nakano discloses deriving a test overall loudness value from the test histogram data; deriving a fixed post-gain value from the test overall loudness value and from a desired loudness value; and applying the time varying gain and the fixed post-gain value to the audio track (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 6, lines 5-27; column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

12. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ (explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao, Lun-See whose telephone number is (571) 272-7501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached on (571) 272-7848.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Lao, Lun-See
/Lun-See Lao/
Examiner, Art Unit 2615
Patent Examiner
US Patent and Trademark Office
Knox
571-272-7501
Date 04-18-2008

/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2615

Application/Control Number: 10/043,591
Art Unit: 2615

Page 17